

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT _____

9 ,
10
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 ,
14 Defendant.

CASE NO.

**[MODEL]
AGREEMENT
REGARDING
DISCOVERY OF
ELECTRONICALLY
STORED
INFORMATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER**

15
16 *[The italicized portions below set forth guidance and instruction to the parties in formulating
their agreement but may be deleted from the text of the final agreement as adopted.]*

17 The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of
18 electronically stored information (“ESI”) in this matter:

19 **A. General Principles**

20 1. An attorney’s zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting
21 discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate
22 in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and
23 contributes to the risk of sanctions.

24 2. The proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) must be applied in
25 each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality
26

1 standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably
2 targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

3 **B. ESI Disclosures**

4 Within 30 days after the Rule 26(f) conference, or at a later time if agreed to by the parties,
5 each party shall disclose:

6 1. Custodians. The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession,
7 custody or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant
8 litigation, and the type of the information under his/her control.

9 2. Non-custodial Data Sources. A list of non-custodial data sources (e.g. shared drives,
10 servers, etc.), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.

11 3. Third-Party Data Sources. A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain
12 discoverable ESI (e.g. third-party email and/or mobile device providers, “cloud” storage, etc.)
13 and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information
14 stored in the third-party data source.

15 4. Inaccessible Data. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI
16 (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically
17 identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ.
18 P. 26(b)(2)(B). [*Section (C)(3)(a)(i) below sets forth data sources and ESI which are*
19 *not required to be preserved by the parties. Those data sources and ESI do not need to be*
20 *included on this list.*]

21 **C. Preservation of ESI**

22 The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation to take reasonable and
23 proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party’s possession, custody or
24 control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

25 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be
26 required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up

1 and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their
2 possession, custody or control.

3 2. All parties shall supplement their disclosures in accordance with Rule 26(e) with
4 discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that
5 data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under (C)(3) or (D)(1)-
6 (2) below).

7 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories of
8 ESI need not be preserved:

- 9 a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
- 10 b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data
11 that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
- 12 c. On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and
13 the like.
- 14 d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-
15 opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
- 16 e. Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more
17 accessible elsewhere.
- 18 f. Server, system or network logs.
- 19 g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems
20 in use.
- 21 h. Electronic data (e.g. email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from
22 mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android, and Blackberry devices), provided
23 that a copy of all such electronic data is routinely saved elsewhere (such as on a
24 server, laptop, desktop computer, or “cloud” storage).

25 *[The parties should confer regarding any other categories of ESI that may not need to be*
26 *preserved, such as text messages and social media data, in light of the General Principles*
set forth above, and determine whether they can agree that such categories can be added to the
non- preservation list above.]

D. Privilege

[The parties should confer regarding the nature and scope of privilege logs for the case,
including whether categories of information may be excluded from any logging requirements and

1 *whether alternatives to document-by-document logs can be exchanged.]*

2 1. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing of the
3 complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

4 2. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected
5 from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

6 3. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work product shall
7 be immediately returned to the producing party, and its production shall not constitute a waiver
8 of such protection, if: (i) such information appears on its face to have been inadvertently produced
9 or (ii) the producing party provides notice within 15 days of discovery by the producing
10 party of the inadvertent production.

11 **E. ESI Discovery Procedures**

12 1. On-site inspection of electronic media. Such an inspection shall not be permitted
13 absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement
14 of the parties.

15 2. Search methodology. [*The Court presumes that in the majority of cases, the use of*
16 *search terms will be reasonably necessary to locate or filter ESI likely to contain discoverable*
17 *information.*] The parties shall timely attempt to reach agreement on appropriate search terms, or
18 an appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodology, before any such effort is undertaken.
19 The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search terms or
20 computer- or technology-aided methodology.

21 In the absence of agreement on appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer- or
22 technology-aided methodology, the following procedures shall apply:

23 a. A producing party shall disclose the search terms or queries, if any, and
24 methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information. The
25 parties shall meet and confer to attempt to reach an agreement on the producing party's search
26 terms and/or other methodology.

1 b. If search terms or queries are used to locate ESI likely to contain
2 discoverable information, a requesting party is entitled to no more than 5 additional terms or
3 queries to be used in connection with further electronic searches absent a showing of good cause
4 or agreement of the parties. The 5 additional terms or queries, if any, must be provided by the
5 requesting party within 14 days of receipt of the producing party's production.

6 c. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries,
7 such as product and company names, generally should be avoided. Absent a showing of good
8 cause, each search term or query returning more than 250 megabytes of data are presumed to be
9 overbroad, excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, image and audio files, and similarly large file
10 types.

11 d. The producing party shall search both non-custodial data sources and ESI
12 maintained by the custodians identified above.

13 3. Format. The parties agree that ESI will be produced to the requesting party with
14 searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. Acceptable formats include, but are
15 not limited to, native files, multi-page TIFFs (with a companion OCR or extracted text file),
16 single-page TIFFs (only with load files for e-discovery software that includes metadata fields
17 identifying natural document breaks and also includes companion OCR and/or extracted text
18 files), and searchable PDF. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily
19 converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database and drawing files, should be produced
20 in native format.

21 4. De-duplication. The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across
22 custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party.

23 5. Metadata fields. If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that only
24 the following metadata fields need be produced: document type; custodian and duplicate
25 custodians; author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; file name and size; original file
26 path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or received; and hash value.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

DATED: PARTY 1

PARTY 2

By _____ By _____

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

The Honorable _____
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 **ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS FOR MORE COMPLEX CASES**

2 In addition to the provisions set forth in the Model ESI Agreement above, parties
3 may find the following provisions appropriate and useful in addressing more complicated ESI
4 discovery issues. The complexity of ESI discovery varies from case to case and is not
5 necessarily tied to the number or size of the parties or the amount in controversy. The
6 additional provisions below are intended to assist parties in anticipating and addressing early
7 on more complicated ESI discovery issues but may not be appropriate or necessary in every
8 case. The following provisions are intended as suggested provisions from which parties may
9 pick and choose, taking into consideration the needs of the particular case.

10 1. Search methodology.

11 Upon reasonable request and if appropriate for the particular case, a party shall
12 also disclose information relating to network design, the types of databases, database
13 dictionaries, the access control list and security access logs and rights of individuals to access
14 the system and specific files and applications, the ESI document retention policy, organizational
15 chart for information systems personnel, or the backup and systems recovery routines,
16 including, but not limited to, tape rotation and destruction/overwrite policy.

17 2. Format.

18 a. Each document image file shall be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g.
19 the unique Bates Number of the page of the document in question, followed by its file
20 extension). File names should not be more than twenty characters long or contain spaces. When
21 a text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the
22 underlying ESI, i.e., the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where
23 applicable, the revision history. The parties shall produce their information in the following
24 format: single- page images and associated multi-page text files containing extracted text or
25 with appropriate software load files containing all requisite information for use with the
26

1 document management system (e.g., Concordance® or Summation®), as agreed to by the
2 parties.

3 b. If appropriate to the particular case, the parties shall consider whether
4 or not the full text of each electronic document shall be extracted ("Extracted Text") and
5 produced in a text file. If the parties so agree, the Extracted Text shall be provided in searchable
6 ASCII text format (or Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language) and shall be
7 named with a unique Bates Number (e.g. the unique Bates Number of the first page of the
8 corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).

9 c. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and
10 any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original
11 document.

12 3. Metadata fields. The parties are to confer and agree on whether metadata is to
13 be produced or may be excluded from discovery. Metadata may not be relevant to the issues
14 presented or, if relevant, may not be reasonably subject to discovery, or may be subject to
15 cost- shifting, considering the factors set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(C). For example, if
16 one party is producing only paper documents, and the other party is producing ESI, the parties
17 should confer on whether the additional cost and burden of producing metadata by the
18 party producing ESI is reasonable or should be shifted under the facts and circumstances of
19 the case. If the parties agree to produce metadata, and unless otherwise agreed, each party
20 shall produce the following metadata associated with ESI to the extent reasonably accessible:
21 (a) the author(s) of the ESI; (b) the recipient(s) of the ESI; (c) the date the ESI was created;
22 and (d) the source from which the ESI was produced. The "source" of ESI shall be the name
23 of the person who was the custodian of the ESI or, if the name of a person is not available,
24 the storage location (e.g., "Regulatory Shared Drive-Wayne, PA"). This information will
25 be included in the "Author," "Recipient," "Date," and "Source" fields (respectively) for
26 each document in the load file associated with the document images. Although it is

1 presumed generally that the above list of metadata fields will be provided, the list of metadata
2 fields is intended to be flexible and may be changed by agreement of the parties, particularly
3 in light of advances and changes in technology, vendor and business practices.

4 4. Hard-Copy Documents. If the parties elect to produce hard-copy documents in
5 an electronic format, the production of hard-copy documents shall include a cross-reference
6 file that indicates document breaks and sets forth the Custodian or Source associated with
7 each produced document. Hard-copy documents shall be scanned using Optical Character
8 Recognition technology and searchable ASCII text files shall be produced (or Unicode text
9 format if the text is in a foreign language), unless the producing party can show that the cost
10 would outweigh the usefulness of scanning (for example, when the condition of the paper is not
11 conducive to scanning and will not result in accurate or reasonably useable/searchable ESI).
12 Each file shall be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g. the Unique Bates Number of the
13 first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file
14 extension).

15 5. Privilege Log Based on Metadata. The parties agree that privilege logs shall
16 be provided 30 days after the date agreed upon for final production in this matter. The privilege
17 log shall include a unique identification number for each document and the basis for the claim
18 (attorney-client privileged or work-product protection). For ESI, the privilege log may be
19 generated using available metadata, including author/recipient or to/from/cc/bcc names; the
20 subject matter or title and date created. Should the available metadata provide insufficient
21 information for the purpose of evaluation the privilege claim asserted, the producing party shall
22 include such additional information as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.