

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JOSUE CASTANEDA JUAREZ, et
al.,

Petitioners,

v.

NATHALIE ASHER, et al.,

Respondents.

CASE NO. C20-0700JLR-MLP

ORDER

Because this matter involves immigration detention, remote access to the court’s electronic file is limited under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the court’s local rules. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(c); *see also* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 5.2(c). Ordinarily, the public would be able to access the court’s electronic docket and the case materials herein on the public terminals located in the courthouse. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(c)(2). However, due to the ongoing public emergency caused by the Coronavirus Disease of 2019 (“COVID-19”), the courthouse for the Western District of Washington is

1 closed to the public at this time. *See* General Order No. 02-20 (Mar. 17, 2020) (closing
2 the courthouse to the public); General Order No. 08-20 (May 13, 2020) (continuing the
3 closure of the courthouse through July 31, 2020). As a result, members of the public are
4 temporarily unable to access the public terminals in the courthouse, and thus, they are
5 also unable to access case materials on the court’s docket.


6 This case has garnered interest from the press. To enhance access to case
7 materials—despite the ongoing public emergency—the court DIRECTS the Clerk to post
8 the following orders from this case on the court’s website as soon as practicable. The
9 Clerk should post the orders found at docket numbers: 33, 54, 55, 61, 74, and 78. The
10 court also DIRECTS the Clerk to post this order on the court’s website.

11 In addition to the forgoing orders, the court would like to post some of the parties’
12 filings on its website to improve public access to those materials as well. The additional
13 materials that the court would like to post on its website include: (1) the petition for writ
14 of habeas corpus and class action complaint (Dkt. # 1); (2) the motion to certify a class
15 (Dkt. # 21); (3) the motion for a temporary restraining order (“TRO”) (Dkt. # 22); (4) the
16 motion for permission to file an amicus brief (Dkt. # 49); (5) the notices of supplemental
17 authority (Dkt. ## 56, 73, 75-76); (6) the response to the motion for a TRO (Dkt. # 63);
18 and (7) the reply in support of the motion for a TRO (Dkt. # 68).

19 Before posting any of the parties’ filings to its website, however, the court
20 provides the parties an opportunity to file redacted versions of these documents or
21 otherwise object to the court’s plan. Accordingly, the court ORDERS the parties to meet
22 and confer regarding what, if any, portions of these documents should be redacted as

1 | personal, medical, or otherwise private information. *See, e.g.*, Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a);
2 | Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 5.1(a). The court further ORDERS the parties to file
3 | appropriately redacted copies of these documents no later than seven (7) days from the
4 | filing date of this order. If the parties agree that a document does not need any redaction,
5 | the court ORDERS the parties to so state in a filing on the record no later than seven (7)
6 | days from the filing date of this order. Finally, if either party objects to the filing of one
7 | or more of the forgoing documents in redacted form on the court's website, the court
8 | ORDERS that party to file its objection, supported by appropriate citations to authority,
9 | no later than seven (7) days from the filing date of this order.

10 | Dated this 1st day of June, 2020.

11 | 

12 | _____
13 | JAMES L. ROBART
14 | United States District Judge